

Note on a paper by Joung Min Song

by

GÉRALD TENENBAUM (Nancy)

In [3], Joung Min Song established fairly precise estimates for weighted sums of the form

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} \frac{h(n)}{n},$$

where $P(n)$ denotes the largest prime factor of an integer n with the convention that $P(1) = 1$, and h is a non-negative multiplicative arithmetic function satisfying the conditions

$$(\Omega_1) \quad \sum_{p \leq z} \{h(p) - \kappa\} \frac{\log p}{p} \ll (\log z)^{1-\delta} \quad (z \geq 2),$$

$$(\Omega_2) \quad \sum_{p, \nu \geq 2} \frac{h(p^\nu) \log p^\nu}{p^\nu} < \infty,$$

with suitable constants $\kappa > 0$, $\delta \in]0, 1[$.

Song's proof, which rests on an elegant theorem of Halberstam corresponding to the case $y \geq x$, is a nice development of Wirsing's ideas in [5]. A handy feature of this result is that, apart from positivity, only a mild average assumption is made upon the values $h(p)$.

A natural alternative approach to this problem is to first establish the result for some special arithmetic function satisfying $h(p) = \kappa$ for all p , for which much more information is available, and to then derive the general statement from a suitable comparison result.

The main purpose of this note is to show that such a strategy is indeed successful. For fixed positive κ , we let $\tau_\kappa(n)$ be the coefficient of $1/n^s$ in the Dirichlet series expansion of $\zeta(s)^\kappa$, where $\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann zeta function. We shall select $n \mapsto \tau_\kappa(n)$ to play the rôle of the special function mentioned above. As we shall see, Smida's results in [2] almost readily provide the required estimates, and so we shall mainly be concerned with the comparison result.

Put

$$V_h(y) := \prod_{p \leq y} \sum_{\nu \geq 0} h(p^\nu) / p^\nu.$$

Furthermore, define, as in [3], the function j_κ as the continuous solution to the differential-difference equation

$$u j'_\kappa(u) - \kappa j_\kappa(u) + \kappa j_\kappa(u-1) = 0 \quad (u > 1)$$

with initial conditions $j_\kappa(u) := 0$ ($u < 0$), $j_\kappa(u) := e^{-\gamma\kappa} u^\kappa / \Gamma(\kappa + 1)$ ($0 \leq u \leq 1$), where γ is Euler's constant. We prove the following result.

Theorem. *Let h be a non-negative multiplicative arithmetic function. Under assumptions (Ω_1) and (Ω_2) , we have*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} \frac{h(n)}{n} = V_h(y) j_\kappa(u) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log y)^\delta}\right) \right\}$$

uniformly for $x \geq y \geq 2$ and with $u := (\log x)/\log y$.

Furthermore, the same formula holds for all complex multiplicative functions h satisfying

$$(\Omega_1^*) \quad \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{|h(p) - \kappa| \log p}{p} \ll (\log z)^{1-\delta} \quad (z \geq 2),$$

$$(\Omega_2^*) \quad \sum_{p, \nu \geq 2} \frac{|h(p^\nu)| \log p^\nu}{p^\nu} < \infty,$$

$$(\Omega_3^*) \quad \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \frac{h(p^\nu)}{p^\nu} \neq 0 \quad (p \geq 2),$$

for suitable constant $\kappa > 0$, $\delta \in]0, 1[$.

As described above, this result is essentially proved by first showing that it holds for $h = \tau_\kappa$ and then extending the formula to the stated hypotheses. However, it should be stressed that Halberstam's theorem mentioned above is crucial for the initial step $x \leq y$. Without this, using for instance Wirsing's theorem [5], the method would require slightly stronger hypotheses upon h , e.g. Wirsing's conditions $h(p^\nu) \leq \gamma_1 \gamma_2^\nu$ with $\gamma_1 > 0$, $0 < \gamma_2 < 2$, and the size of the error term would be regulated by available effective forms of Wirsing's theorem.⁽¹⁾

Formula (1) is slightly more precise than Song's. Since $j_\kappa(u)$ tends very quickly towards 1, it is significant only in a restricted range for y , certainly included in the domain $u \leq \log_2 y$.⁽²⁾ This is to be expected because the information on h is roughly equivalent to one regarding the corresponding Dirichlet series in a small neighbourhood (of size depending on y) of the point $s = 1$ and, as shown by saddle point analysis, a larger range would involve information in the half-plane $\Re s < 1$ from outside this neighbourhood. In turn, such assumptions essentially amount to controlling averages of the form $\sum_{p \leq z} h(p)/p^\alpha$ with some $\alpha < 1$. When, for instance, $1 - \alpha$ is bounded away from 0, this is equivalent to controlling $\sum_{p \leq z} h(p)$.

We finally note that hypothesis (Ω_3^*) is only necessary to fit the specific formulation given in (1). Indeed, without this assumption we obtain

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} \frac{h(n)}{n} = V_h(y) j_\kappa(u) + O((\log y)^{\kappa-\delta}).$$

1. For instance the effective form of Karamata's theorem given in [4], theorem II.7.9, would give an error factor $1 + O(1/\log_2 2y)$. This is much weaker than desired, but Karamata's theorem is 'too' general a tool for this application: it deals with Dirichlet series whose coefficients need not be multiplicative. Following Wirsing, Halberstam's proof strongly exploits multiplicativity and the calculations required to obtain the sharp effective estimate are comparatively simple.

2. Here and throughout the paper, we let \log_k denote the k -fold iterated logarithm.

Let z_κ denote the unique solution of the differential-difference equation

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} uz'_\kappa(u) + \kappa z_\kappa(u-1) = 0 & (u > 1) \\ z_\kappa(u) = 1 & (0 \leq u \leq 1) \\ z_\kappa(u) = 0 & (u < 0) \end{cases}$$

which is continuous on $[0, \infty[$. Then, a simple calculation shows that, for all real numbers u , we have

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^u v^{\kappa-1} z_\kappa(u-v) dv = e^{\gamma\kappa} j_\kappa(u) = \int_0^u \varrho_\kappa(v) dv,$$

where ϱ_κ is the κ -th fractional convolution power of the Dickman function precisely defined in Smida's paper [2]. Writing

$$S_\kappa(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \tau_\kappa(n),$$

Smida showed in [2] that, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(5) \quad S_\kappa(x, y) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} \tau_\kappa(n) = \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{L_\varepsilon(y)}\right) \right\} x \int_{0-}^{\infty} z_\kappa(u-v) d\left(\frac{S_\kappa(y^v)}{y^v}\right)$$

holds uniformly, with $u := (\log x)/\log y$, $L_\varepsilon(y) := e^{(\log y)^{3/5-\varepsilon}}$, in the domain

$$(6) \quad x \geq 3, \quad e^{(\log x)^{2/5+\varepsilon}} \leq y \leq x.$$

She also proved that, for fixed $\kappa > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(7) \quad S_\kappa(x, y) = x(\log y)^{\kappa-1} \varrho_\kappa(u) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y} + \frac{1}{(\log y)^\kappa}\right) \right\}$$

holds uniformly for $x \geq 3$, $e^{(\log_2 x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}} \leq y \leq x$.

We shall deduce our theorem mainly from (5) and (7).

To prove (1), we first investigate the case $h = \tau_\kappa$. For notational simplicity, we write $V_\kappa(y)$ instead of $V_{\tau_\kappa}(y)$. We shall show that the estimate

$$(8) \quad V_\kappa(x, y) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} \frac{\tau_\kappa(n)}{n} = V_\kappa(y) j_\kappa(u) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3 8y}{\log y}\right) \right\}$$

holds uniformly for $x \geq y \geq 2$ with

$$(9) \quad V_\kappa(y) := \prod_{p \leq y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-\kappa} = \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{L_\varepsilon(y)}\right) \right\} e^{\gamma\kappa} (\log y)^\kappa.$$

We may assume that (6) holds, and indeed that $u \leq \log_2 y$, since otherwise $j_\kappa(u) = 1 + O(1/\log y)$ and the left-hand side of (8) is a non-decreasing function of u . By partial summation

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} \frac{\tau_\kappa(n)}{n} = \int_1^x \frac{S_\kappa(t, y)}{t^2} dt + \frac{S_\kappa(x, y)}{x}.$$

By (7), the second term on the right is $\ll (\log y)^{\kappa-1}$, and so may be absorbed by the error term in (8). To estimate the first term, we consider two cases, according as $\kappa \geq 1$ or not.

When $\kappa \geq 1$, we have from (7), in the considered range,

$$\int_1^x \frac{S_\kappa(t, y)}{t^2} dt = \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3 8y}{\log y}\right) \right\} (\log y)^\kappa \int_0^u \varrho_\kappa(v) dv,$$

which implies (8) by (4) and (9).

When $0 < \kappa < 1$, we apply (5) and inverse the order of summations. We obtain that

$$\int_1^x \frac{S_\kappa(t, y)}{t^2} dt = \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{L_\varepsilon(y)}\right) \right\} J_\kappa(x, y)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_\kappa(x, y) &:= \int_{0-}^{\infty} d\left(\frac{S_\kappa(y^v)}{y^v}\right) \int_1^x z_\kappa\left(\frac{\log t}{\log y} - v\right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= (\log y) \int_{0-}^{\infty} d\left(\frac{S_\kappa(y^v)}{y^v}\right) \int_0^u z_\kappa(w - v) dw \\ &= (\log y) \int_0^u z_\kappa(u - v) \frac{S_\kappa(y^v)}{y^v} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Using the classical estimate

$$\begin{aligned} (\log y) \int_0^u \frac{S_\kappa(y^v)}{y^v} dv &= \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\tau_\kappa(n)}{n} - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \tau_\kappa(n) \\ &= \frac{(u \log y)^\kappa}{\Gamma(\kappa + 1)} + O\left(\frac{(u \log y)^\kappa}{1 + u \log y}\right), \end{aligned}$$

valid uniformly for $y \geq 2$, $u \geq 0$, we derive from the above and (4)

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad J_\kappa(x, y) &= \frac{(\log y)^\kappa}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^u v^{\kappa-1} z_\kappa(u - v) dv + R \\ &= j_\kappa(u) e^{\gamma\kappa} (\log y)^\kappa + R \end{aligned}$$

with

$$R = \int_0^u z_\kappa(u - v) d\left\{ O\left(\frac{(v \log y)^\kappa}{1 + v \log y}\right) \right\}.$$

This quantity may be estimated by partial summation, admitting for the moment that, still for $0 < \kappa < 1$,

$$(12) \quad z'_\kappa(v) \leq 0 \quad (v > 0, v \neq 1).$$

Indeed, we obtain, conditionally to (12), for $u \geq 1$,

$$R \ll (\log y)^{\kappa-1} - (\log y)^{\kappa-1} \int_0^u z'_\kappa(u - v) v^{\kappa-1} dv \ll (\log y)^{\kappa-1},$$

where the last integral has been estimated by differentiating (4).

It remains to establish (12). We first note that (3) implies

$$\{u^\kappa z_\kappa(u)\}' = \kappa u^{\kappa-1} \{z_\kappa(u) - z_\kappa(u-1)\} \quad (u > 0, u \neq 1)$$

and so

$$u^\kappa z_\kappa(u) = \kappa \int_0^{u-1} \{v^{\kappa-1} - (v+1)^{\kappa-1}\} z_\kappa(v) dv + \kappa \int_{u-1}^u v^{\kappa-1} z_\kappa(v) dv \quad (u \geq 1).$$

This plainly implies that $z_\kappa(u) \geq 0$ for all u and (12) follows in view of (3).

This completes the proof of (8).

We now prove the first assertion of our theorem. Let $V_h(x, y)$ denote the sum on the left-hand side of (1). As in Song's paper, we introduce

$$T_h(x, y) := \int_1^x V_h(t, y) \frac{dt}{t} = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} \frac{h(n)}{n} \log \left(\frac{x}{n} \right).$$

Wirsing's functional equation for $V_h(x, y)$ stated and proved in Lemma 2 of [3] readily provides (see equation (4.12) of [3])

$$(13) \quad V_h(x, y) \log x = (\kappa + 1)T_h(x, y) - \kappa T_h(x/y, y) + O((\log y)^{\kappa+1-\delta}).$$

We consider y as fixed and introduce

$$\lambda_h(u) := T_h(x, y)/(\log y)^{\kappa+1} = T_h(y^u, y)/(\log y)^{\kappa+1}.$$

This function of u is differentiable except perhaps when $y^u \in \mathbb{N}$. At these points, we define $\lambda_h'(u)$ by right continuity, so that $\lambda_h'(u) = V_h(y^u, y)/(\log y)^\kappa$ for all u . Then (13) can be rewritten as

$$u\lambda_h'(u) = (\kappa + 1)\lambda_h(u) - \kappa\lambda_h(u-1) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log y)^\delta}\right) \quad (u \geq 1).$$

We apply this for both functions h and τ_κ , multiply the second equation by

$$C_\kappa(h) := \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^\kappa \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \frac{h(p^\nu)}{p^\nu},$$

and subtract. Writing $\mu(u) := \lambda_h(u) - C_\kappa(h)\lambda_{\tau_\kappa}(u)$, we get

$$(14) \quad u\mu'(u) = (\kappa + 1)\mu(u) - \kappa\mu(u-1) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log y)^\delta}\right) \quad (u \geq 1),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{du} \left\{ \frac{\mu(u)}{u^{\kappa+1}} \right\} = -\kappa \frac{\mu(u-1)}{u^{\kappa+2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\kappa+2}(\log y)^\delta}\right) \quad (u \geq 1),$$

from which we infer that, for $1 \leq u \leq v \leq u + 1$,

$$(15) \quad \frac{|\mu(v)|}{v^{\kappa+1}} \leq \frac{|\mu(u)|}{u^{\kappa+1}} + \kappa \int_u^v \frac{|\mu(w-1)|}{w^{\kappa+2}} dw + O\left(\frac{1}{v^{\kappa+2}(\log y)^\delta}\right).$$

We now observe that Halberstam's theorem stated and proved in [3] (Theorem A), implies

$$(16) \quad \mu(u) \ll 1/(\log y)^\delta$$

for $0 \leq u \leq 1$. Thus, by a routine induction, (15) yields that (16) remains true for bounded u .

Let $q :]0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution defined in [1] to the adjoint equation corresponding to (14), namely

$$(17) \quad uq'(u) + (\kappa + 2)q(u) - \kappa q(u + 1) = 0 \quad (u > 0).$$

Then $q(u) \sim 1/u^2$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, we get from (14) and (17)

$$\frac{d}{du} \left\{ u\mu(u)q(u) - \kappa \int_{u-1}^u \mu(v)q(v+1) dv \right\} \ll \frac{q(u)}{(\log y)^\delta} \quad (u \geq 1).$$

We integrate this over the range $[1, u]$ and use (16) for $0 \leq u \leq 1$. We obtain

$$u\mu(u)q(u) = \kappa \int_{u-1}^u \mu(v)q(v+1) dv + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log y)^\delta}\right) \quad (u \geq 1).$$

We use this formula in the form that, for a suitable constant $A > 0$, we have

$$(18) \quad u|\mu(u)q(u)| \leq \kappa \int_{u-1}^u |\mu(v)q(v+1)| dv + \frac{A}{(\log y)^\delta} \quad (u \geq 1).$$

We are now in a position to conclude the argument. Let $u_0 > 8\kappa + 1$ be such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq q(u)u^2 \leq 2$ for $u \geq u_0$, and let B denote a constant exceeding $4A$ and such that

$$|\mu(u)| \leq Bu/(\log y)^\delta \quad (1 \leq u \leq u_0).$$

Define u_1 as the greatest lower bound of values of u with $|\mu(u)| > Bu/(\log y)^\delta$. Then, trivially, $u_1 \geq u_0$. Moreover, if u_1 is finite, then we have by (18)

$$\frac{1}{2}B \leq 2\kappa B/u_1 + A.$$

This implies in turn $\frac{1}{2} - 2\kappa/u_1 \leq A/B \leq \frac{1}{4}$, whence $u_1 \leq 8\kappa$, a contradiction. Thus, u_1 is not finite and we have

$$|\mu(u)| \leq Bu/(\log y)^\delta \quad (u \geq 1).$$

Inserting back in (14), using (8) and the fact that

$$C_\kappa(h) = \prod_{p \leq y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^\kappa \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \frac{h(p^\nu)}{p^\nu} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log y)^\delta}\right)\right\},$$

which easily follows from (Ω_1) and (Ω_2) , we obtain (1).

We now prove the second part of our theorem. A standard convolution argument yields (1) from (8) under assumptions (Ω_j^*) ($1 \leq j \leq 3$). Indeed, writing $h = \tau_\kappa * g$, we deduce in turn from (Ω_1^*) and (Ω_2^*) that

$$\sum_{p, \nu \geq 2} |g(p^\nu)|/p^\nu < \infty, \quad \sum_{m \geq 1} |g(m)|/m < \infty$$

and that

$$(19) \quad \sum_{P(n) \leq y} \frac{|g(n)| \log n}{n} \leq \sum_{P(m) \leq y} \frac{|g(m)|}{m} \sum_{p \leq y, \nu \geq 1} \frac{|g(p^\nu)|}{p^\nu} \log p^\nu \ll (\log y)^{1-\delta}.$$

Now

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} \frac{h(n)}{n} = \sum_{\substack{d \leq x \\ P(d) \leq y}} \frac{g(d)}{d} V_\kappa(x/d, y)$$

and, using the fact that $j_\kappa(u) = j_\kappa(1)u^\kappa$ for $0 \leq u \leq 1$, we deduce from (8) and classical estimates for $V_\kappa(y, y)$ that

$$V_\kappa(x/d, y) = j_\kappa(u) V_\kappa(y) \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3 8y + \log d}{\log y}\right)\right\}$$

uniformly for $x \geq y \geq 2$, $d \geq 1$. Taking (19) into account, we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} \frac{h(n)}{n} &= V_\kappa(y) \sum_{\substack{d \leq x \\ P(d) \leq y}} \frac{g(d)}{d} j_\kappa(u) \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3 8y + \log d}{\log y}\right)\right\} \\ &= V_\kappa(y) j_\kappa(u) \left\{ \sum_{P(d) \leq y} \frac{g(d)}{d} + O\left(\sum_{P(d) \leq d} \frac{|g(d)|(\log_3 8y + \log d)}{d \log y} \right) \right\} \\ &= V_h(y) j_\kappa(u) \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log y)^\delta}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (1).

References

- [1] H. Iwaniec, Rosser's sieve, *Acta Arith.* **36** (1980), 171–202.
- [2] H. Smida, Valeur moyenne des fonctions de Piltz sur les entiers sans grand facteur premier, *Acta Arith.* **63**, 1 (1993), 21–50.

- [3] J. M. Song, Sums of nonnegative multiplicative functions over integers free of large prime factors, *Acta Arith.*, this volume.
- [4] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, no. 46, Cambridge University Press (1995).
- [5] E. Wirsing, Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen über multiplikative Funktionen, *Math. Annalen* **143**, (1961), 75–102.

Gérald Tenenbaum
Institut Élie Cartan
Université Henri Poincaré–Nancy 1
BP 239
54506 Vandœuvre Cedex
France