

**BUBBLING PHENOMENA FOR FOURTH-ORDER
FOUR-DIMENSIONAL PDES
WITH EXPONENTIAL GROWTH**

O. DRUET AND F. ROBERT

ABSTRACT. We are concerned in this short paper with the bubbling phenomenon for nonlinear fourth-order four-dimensional PDE's. The operators in the equations are perturbations of the bi-Laplacian. The nonlinearity is of exponential growth. Such equations arise naturally in statistical physics and geometry. As a consequence of our theorem we get a priori bounds for solutions of our equations.

We are concerned in this paper with understanding the bubbling phenomenon for fourth-order four-dimensional PDE's of exponential growth. Such equations arise naturally in statistical physics and in geometry (see [7] and [9]). In what follows, we let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian 4-manifold without boundary. We let also $(b_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ and $(f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ be sequences of smooth functions on M , and $(A_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a sequence of smooth $(2, 0)$ -symmetric tensor fields. We assume that (b_ε) , (f_ε) and (A_ε) converge as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the C^k -topologies, k positive integer, to limiting objects of the same nature, b_0 , f_0 and A_0 . Then we consider sequences $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ of solutions of

$$\Delta_g^2 u_\varepsilon + R_\varepsilon(x, du_\varepsilon) = f_\varepsilon(x) e^{u_\varepsilon} \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta_g = -\text{div}_g(\nabla \cdot)$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator and

$$R_\varepsilon(x, du) = -\text{div}_g(A_\varepsilon du) + b_\varepsilon. \quad (2)$$

Following standard terminology, we say that the u_ε 's blow up if $u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for a sequence (x_ε) of points in M . We let

$$L_0 = \Delta_g^2 u - \text{div}_g(A_0 du) \quad (3)$$

be the limit operator in (1). At last, we let G be the Green function of L_0 . The Green function is unique up to a constant when the kernel of L_0 consists only of constants. We write G as

$$G(x, y) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \ln \frac{1}{d_g(x, y)} + \beta(x, y)$$

for $(x, y) \in M \times M \setminus D$, with $D = \{(x, x), x \in M\}$ is the diagonal in $M \times M$, where $\beta \in C^1(M \times M)$. We let φ be the function given by

$$\varphi(x) = \int_M G(x, y) b_0(y) dv_g(y).$$

For u a function on M we let

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_g(M)} \int_M u dv_g$$

be the mean value of u , where $\text{Vol}_g(M)$ is the volume of M with respect to g . Our theorem states as follows :

Date: September 2004.

Theorem 1. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension 4 without boundary. Let (u_ε) be a blowing-up sequence of solutions of (1). Assume that the kernel of L_0 consists only of constants and that f_0 is a positive function on M . Then*

$$\int_M b_0 dv_g = 64\pi^2 N$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Moreover there exists a finite subset $S \subset M$, consisting of N points x_i 's, $i = 1, \dots, N$, such that

$$u_\varepsilon - \bar{u}_\varepsilon \rightarrow 64\pi^2 \sum_{i=1}^N G(x_i, \cdot) - \varphi$$

in $C_{loc}^4(M \setminus S)$. At last, we have that

$$64\pi^2 \nabla_y \beta(x_i, x_i) + 64\pi^2 \sum_{j \neq i} \nabla_x G(x_i, x_j) - \nabla \varphi(x_i) = -\frac{\nabla f_0(x_i)}{f_0(x_i)}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, N$.

The proof of Theorem 1 comes with strong pointwise estimates on the u_ε 's and the observation that concentration points are isolated (we refer to section 1 for details). This should be compared with the more intricate situation of Yamabe type equations for which concentration points are not necessarily isolated (see [3, 4, 5, 6]). Independently, as is easily checked, a priori C^4 -bounds on sequences of solutions follow from the above theorem when $\int_M b_0 dv_g \notin 64\pi^2 \mathbb{N}$. This includes compactness of the geometric Paneitz equation with arbitrary prescribed Q -curvature (we refer to the nice surveys [1] and [2] for material on the Q -curvature). Such a priori C^4 -bounds should be regarded as a first step towards a Morse theory for the equations we consider in this paper. We refer to [11] where this question was handled in the case of the Yamabe equation.

1. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let us assume that we have a sequence (u_ε) of smooth solutions of

$$L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon + b_\varepsilon(x) = f_\varepsilon(x) e^{u_\varepsilon} . \quad (4)$$

where $L_\varepsilon = \Delta_g^2 - \text{div}_g(A_\varepsilon d \cdot)$. Since we assumed that $\text{Ker } L_0 = \{\text{constants}\}$, it is clear that $\text{Ker } L_\varepsilon = \{\text{constants}\}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Thus, if the sequence (u_ε) is bounded from above, it follows from standard elliptic theory that (u_ε) is uniformly bounded in $C^4(M)$ except if $\int_M b_0 dv_g = 0$. This clarifies the remarks after the theorem. From now on, we assume that the u_ε 's blow-up, i.e. that

$$\max_M u_\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 . \quad (5)$$

Before starting the proof of Theorem 1, we note that, integrating equation (4),

$$\int_M f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g = \int_M b_\varepsilon dv_g = \int_M b_0 dv_g + o(1) . \quad (6)$$

We divide the proof into several steps. The first step goes as follows :

STEP 1 - *Assume that (5) holds. Then there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and N sequences $(x_{i,\varepsilon})$ of converging points in M such that, after passing to a subsequence, the following assertions hold :*

a) $\frac{d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x_{j,\varepsilon})}{\mu_{i,\varepsilon}} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, $i \neq j$ where

$$f_\varepsilon(x_{i,\varepsilon}) \mu_{i,\varepsilon}^4 e^{u_\varepsilon(x_{i,\varepsilon})} = 1 .$$

b) We have that

$$v_{i,\varepsilon}(x) = u_\varepsilon(\exp_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(\mu_{i,\varepsilon}x)) - u_\varepsilon(x_{i,\varepsilon}) \rightarrow V_0(x) = -4 \ln \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8\sqrt{6}} \right)$$

in $C_{loc}^4(\mathbb{R}^4)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$.

c) For all $i = 1, \dots, N$, we have that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(R\mu_{i,\varepsilon})} f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g = 64\pi^2.$$

d) At last, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\inf_{i=1, \dots, N} d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x)^4 \right) e^{u_\varepsilon(x)} \leq C$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $x \in M$.

PROOF OF STEP 1 - We briefly sketch the proof below and we refer to [10] for the details. We let $x_\varepsilon \in M$ be such that $u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) = \max_M u_\varepsilon$. By (5), $u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We let $\mu_\varepsilon > 0$ be defined by

$$f_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) \mu_\varepsilon^4 e^{u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)} = 1 \quad (7)$$

so that $\mu_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We let for $x \in B_0(\delta\mu_\varepsilon^{-1})$, the Euclidean ball of center 0 and radius $\delta\mu_\varepsilon^{-1}$, $\delta > 0$ small fixed,

$$\begin{aligned} v_\varepsilon(x) &= u_\varepsilon(\exp_{x_\varepsilon}(\mu_\varepsilon x)) - u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon), \\ g_\varepsilon(x) &= (\exp_{x_\varepsilon}^* g)(\mu_\varepsilon x), \quad \tilde{A}_\varepsilon(x) = (\exp_{x_\varepsilon}^* A_\varepsilon)(\mu_\varepsilon x), \\ \tilde{b}_\varepsilon(x) &= b_\varepsilon(\exp_{x_\varepsilon}(\mu_\varepsilon x)) \text{ and } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon(x) = f_\varepsilon(\exp_{x_\varepsilon}(\mu_\varepsilon x)). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We then have that

$$\Delta_{g_\varepsilon}^2 v_\varepsilon - \mu_\varepsilon^2 \operatorname{div}_{g_\varepsilon}(\tilde{A}_\varepsilon dv_\varepsilon) + \mu_\varepsilon^4 \tilde{b}_\varepsilon = \frac{\tilde{f}_\varepsilon}{f_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)} e^{v_\varepsilon} \quad (9)$$

in $B_0(\delta\mu_\varepsilon^{-1})$. We write with the Green representation formula that

$$u_\varepsilon(x) - \bar{u}_\varepsilon = \int_M G_\varepsilon(x, y) L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(y) dv_g(y)$$

for all $x \in M$ where G_ε is the Green function of L_ε . Using equation (4) and differentiating the above with respect to x , we obtain for $k = 1, 2, 3$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^k u_\varepsilon|_g(x) &\leq \int_M |\nabla_x^k G_\varepsilon(x, y)|_g |f_\varepsilon(y) e^{u_\varepsilon(y)} - b_\varepsilon(y)| dv_g(y) \\ &\leq \int_M |\nabla_x^k G_\varepsilon(x, y)|_g f_\varepsilon(y) e^{u_\varepsilon(y)} dv_g(y) + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

since $b_\varepsilon \rightarrow b_0$ in $C^0(M)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let $y_\varepsilon \in B_{x_\varepsilon}(R\mu_\varepsilon)$, $R > 0$ fixed. We write that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M |\nabla_x^k G(y_\varepsilon, y)|_g e^{u_\varepsilon(y)} dv_g(y) \\ &= O\left(\mu_\varepsilon^{-k} \int_{M \setminus B_{y_\varepsilon}(\mu_\varepsilon)} e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g\right) + O\left(e^{u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)} \int_{B_{y_\varepsilon}(\mu_\varepsilon)} d_g(y_\varepsilon, y)^{-k} dv_g(y)\right) \\ &= O(\mu_\varepsilon^{-k}) \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the fact that $u_\varepsilon \leq u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)$, to (7) and to standard estimates on the Green function (which are uniform in ε). Together with the definition (8) of v_ε , this gives that (v_ε) is uniformly bounded in $C^3(K)$ for all compact subset K of \mathbb{R}^4 . Standard elliptic theory gives then thanks to equation (9) that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} v_\varepsilon = V_0 \text{ in } C_{loc}^4(\mathbb{R}^4) \quad (10)$$

where V_0 is a solution of

$$\Delta_\xi^2 V_0 = e^{V_0} \quad (11)$$

in \mathbb{R}^4 satisfying $V_0(x) \leq V_0(0) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$. Moreover, since

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{x_\varepsilon}(R\mu_\varepsilon)} f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g = \int_{B_0(R)} e^{V_0} dx ,$$

equation (6) implies that $e^{V_0} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$. From the classification of the solutions of equation (11) by Lin [8], we get that either

$$V_0(x) = -4 \ln \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8\sqrt{6}} \right) \quad (12)$$

or there exists $a > 0$ such that

$$\Delta_\xi V_0 \geq a \quad (13)$$

in \mathbb{R}^4 . Let us prove that we are in the first situation. For that purpose, we write with the Green representation formula and equation (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_0(R)} |\Delta_{g_\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon|_{g_\varepsilon} dv_{g_\varepsilon} &= \mu_\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{B_{x_\varepsilon}(R\mu_\varepsilon)} |\Delta_g u_\varepsilon|_g dv_g \\ &\leq C \mu_\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{x \in B_{x_\varepsilon}(R\mu_\varepsilon)} \int_{y \in M} |\Delta_{g,x} G_\varepsilon(x,y)|_g \left(e^{u_\varepsilon(y)} + 1 \right) dv_g(y) dv_g(x) \\ &\leq C \mu_\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{y \in M} \left(e^{u_\varepsilon(y)} + 1 \right) \left(\int_{x \in B_{x_\varepsilon}(R\mu_\varepsilon)} d_g(x,y)^{-2} dv_g(x) \right) dv_g(y) \\ &\leq CR^2 \end{aligned}$$

thanks to standard estimates on the Green function and to (6) where $C > 0$ denotes some constant independent of R and $\varepsilon > 0$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get that

$$\int_{B_0(R)} |\Delta_\xi V_0|_\xi dx \leq CR^2$$

for all $R > 0$. This clearly eliminates the possibility (13). Then (12) must hold. It is then easily checked that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{x_\varepsilon}(R\mu_\varepsilon)} f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{V_0} dx = 64\pi^2 . \quad (14)$$

For $k \geq 1$, we say that \mathcal{H}_k holds if there exist $(x_{i,\varepsilon})_{i=1,\dots,k}$ k converging sequences of points in M and $(\mu_{i,\varepsilon})_{i=1,\dots,k}$ k sequences of positive real numbers going to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that $f_\varepsilon(x_{i,\varepsilon}) \mu_{i,\varepsilon}^4 e^{u_\varepsilon(x_{i,\varepsilon})} = 1$ and such that, after passing to a subsequence, the following assertions hold :

$$(A_k^1) \frac{d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x_{j,\varepsilon})}{\mu_{i,\varepsilon}} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } i, j = 1, \dots, N, i \neq j.$$

$$(A_k^2) \text{ We have that}$$

$$v_{i,\varepsilon}(x) = u_\varepsilon(\exp_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(\mu_{i,\varepsilon}x)) - u_\varepsilon(x_{i,\varepsilon}) \rightarrow V_0(x) = -4 \ln \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8\sqrt{6}} \right)$$

in $C_{loc}^4(\mathbb{R}^4)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$.

$$(A_k^3) \text{ For all } i = 1, \dots, N, \text{ we have that}$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(R\mu_{i,\varepsilon})} f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g = 64\pi^2 .$$

Clearly, with what we said above, \mathcal{H}_1 holds. We let now $k \geq 1$ and assume that \mathcal{H}_k holds. We also assume that

$$\sup_M R_{k,\varepsilon}(x)^4 e^{u_\varepsilon(x)} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad (15)$$

where

$$R_{k,\varepsilon}(x) = \min_{i=1,\dots,k} d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x) .$$

We prove in the following that, in this situation, \mathcal{H}_{k+1} holds. For that purpose, we let $x_{k+1,\varepsilon} \in M$ be such that

$$R_{k,\varepsilon}(x_{k+1,\varepsilon})^4 e^{u_\varepsilon(x_{k+1,\varepsilon})} = \sup_M R_{k,\varepsilon}(x)^4 e^{u_\varepsilon(x)} \quad (16)$$

and we set

$$\mu_{k+1,\varepsilon} = \left(\frac{1}{f_\varepsilon(x_{k+1,\varepsilon}) e^{u_\varepsilon(x_{k+1,\varepsilon})}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} .$$

Since M is compact, (15) implies that $\mu_{k+1,\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and that

$$\frac{d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x_{k+1,\varepsilon})}{\mu_{k+1,\varepsilon}} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad (17)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Thanks to (A_k^2) , it is also easily checked that $\frac{d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x_{k+1,\varepsilon})}{\mu_{i,\varepsilon}} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ so that (A_{k+1}^1) holds. It follows from (16) and (17) that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{z \in B_{x_{k+1,\varepsilon}}(R\mu_{k+1,\varepsilon})} (u_\varepsilon(z) - u_\varepsilon(x_{k+1,\varepsilon})) = 0 .$$

Mimicking what we did above thanks to the Green representation formula, one proves then that, after passing to a subsequence,

$$u_\varepsilon \left(\exp_{x_{k+1,\varepsilon}}(\mu_{k+1,\varepsilon} x) \right) - u_\varepsilon(x_{k+1,\varepsilon}) \rightarrow V_0(x)$$

in $C_{loc}^4(\mathbb{R}^4)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. And, as a consequence,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{x_{k+1,\varepsilon}}(R\mu_{k+1,\varepsilon})} f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g = 64\pi^2 .$$

Recollecting the informations above, one gets that \mathcal{H}_{k+1} holds. Since (A_k^1) and (A_k^3) of \mathcal{H}_k imply that

$$\int_M f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g \geq 64\pi^2 k + o(1) ,$$

we easily get thanks to (6) that there exists a maximal k , $1 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \int_M b_0 dv_g$, such that \mathcal{H}_k holds. Arriving to this maximal k , we get that (15) can not hold. Writing $k = N$, we have finished the proof of Step 1. \diamond

STEP 2 - For $k = 1, 2, 3$, there exists $C_k > 0$ such that

$$R_\varepsilon(x)^k \left| \nabla^k u_\varepsilon \right|_g(x) \leq C_k$$

for all $x \in M$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$. Here,

$$R_\varepsilon(x) = \inf_{i=1,\dots,N} d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x)$$

where the $x_{i,\varepsilon}$'s are as in Step 1.

PROOF OF STEP 2 - We use again the Green representation for u_ε that we differentiate. We let $x_\varepsilon \in M$ be such that $x_\varepsilon \neq x_{i,\varepsilon}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. Note that, for $x_\varepsilon = x_{i,\varepsilon}$, the estimates of the proposition are obvious. We write thanks to standard estimates on the Green function that

$$\left| \nabla^k u_\varepsilon \right|_g(x_\varepsilon) = O \left(\int_M \frac{1}{d_g(x_\varepsilon, y)^k} e^{u_\varepsilon(y)} dv_g(y) \right) + O(1) .$$

For $i = 1, \dots, N$, we let

$$\Omega_{i,\varepsilon} = \{y \in M, R_\varepsilon(y) = d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, y)\}$$

and we write that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{d_g(x_\varepsilon, y)^k} e^{u_\varepsilon(y)} dv_g(y) \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{d_g(x_\varepsilon, x_{i,\varepsilon})^k} \int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon} \cap B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{d_g(x_\varepsilon, x_{i,\varepsilon})}{2}\right)} e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g\right) \\
&+ O\left(\int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon} \setminus B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x_\varepsilon)}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{d_g(x_\varepsilon, y)^k} \frac{1}{d_g(y, x_{i,\varepsilon})^4} dv_g(y)\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{d_g(x_\varepsilon, x_{i,\varepsilon})^k}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to assertion d) of Step 1, to (6) and to some straightforward computations. Step 2 clearly follows. \diamond

STEP 3 - For any $1 \leq \nu < 2$, there exists $\delta_\nu > 0$ and $C_\nu > 0$ such that

$$\mu_{i,\varepsilon}^{4(1-\nu)} d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x)^{4\nu} e^{u_\varepsilon(x)} \leq C_\nu$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $x \in B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(\delta_\nu)$ where $x_{i,\varepsilon}$ and $\mu_{i,\varepsilon}$ are as in Step 1. In particular, we have that

$$d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x_{j,\varepsilon}) \geq \delta_0$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $i \neq j$, where $\delta_0 > 0$ is independent of ε and i, j . At last, this implies that $\bar{u}_\varepsilon \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

PROOF OF STEP 3 - Fix $1 \leq \nu < 2$. We set for $i = 1, \dots, N$

$$R_{i,\varepsilon} = \min_{j \neq i} d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x_{j,\varepsilon}) \quad (18)$$

and we take some $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that there exists $\theta > 0$ such that

$$R_{i,\varepsilon} \leq \theta R_{j,\varepsilon} \quad (19)$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. We set

$$\varphi_{i,\varepsilon}(r) = r^{4\nu} \exp\left(\left(\text{Vol}_g(\partial B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r))\right)^{-1} \int_{\partial B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r)} u_\varepsilon d\sigma_g\right) \quad (20)$$

for $0 \leq r < \text{inj}_g(M)$. A simple consequence of assertion b) of Step 1 is that

$$\varphi'_{i,\varepsilon}(R\mu_{i,\varepsilon}) < 0 \quad (21)$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small and all $R \geq R_\nu$ where $R_\nu^2 = \frac{16\sqrt{6}\nu}{2-\nu}$. We define $r_{i,\varepsilon}$ by

$$r_{i,\varepsilon} = \inf\left\{R_\nu \mu_{i,\varepsilon} \leq r \leq \frac{R_{i,\varepsilon}}{2} \text{ s.t. } \varphi'_{i,\varepsilon}(r) < 0 \text{ in } [R_\nu \mu_{i,\varepsilon}, r]\right\}. \quad (22)$$

Note that, by (21), we have that

$$\frac{r_{i,\varepsilon}}{\mu_{i,\varepsilon}} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (23)$$

Let us assume that

$$r_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (24)$$

We set for $x \in B_0(\delta r_{i,\varepsilon}^{-1})$, $\delta > 0$ small fixed,

$$v_{i,\varepsilon}(x) = u_\varepsilon(\exp_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon}x)) - C_{i,\varepsilon} \quad (25)$$

where

$$C_{i,\varepsilon} = \left(\text{Vol}_g(\partial B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon}))\right)^{-1} \int_{\partial B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon})} u_\varepsilon d\sigma_g. \quad (26)$$

We also set, for $j \in \mathcal{S}_i = \{j \neq i \text{ s.t. } d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x_{j,\varepsilon}) = O(r_{i,\varepsilon})\}$,

$$\tilde{x}_{j,\varepsilon} = r_{i,\varepsilon}^{-1} \exp_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}^{-1}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) \text{ and } \tilde{x}_j = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{x}_{j,\varepsilon}, \quad (27)$$

after passing to a subsequence, if necessary. Note that, thanks to (18), to (22) and to the choice of i we made (see (19)), we have that $|\tilde{x}_j| \geq 2$ for all $j \in \mathcal{S}_i$ and that $|\tilde{x}_j - \tilde{x}_k| \geq \frac{2}{\theta}$ for all $j, k \in \mathcal{S}_i, j \neq k$. By equation (4), we have that

$$\Delta_{g_{i,\varepsilon}}^2 v_{i,\varepsilon} - r_{i,\varepsilon}^2 \operatorname{div}_{g_{i,\varepsilon}}(A_{i,\varepsilon} \nabla v_{i,\varepsilon}) + r_{i,\varepsilon}^4 b_{i,\varepsilon} = f_{i,\varepsilon} \varphi_{i,\varepsilon}(r_{i,\varepsilon}) r_{i,\varepsilon}^{4(1-\nu)} e^{v_{i,\varepsilon}} \quad (28)$$

in $B_0(\delta r_{i,\varepsilon}^{-1})$ where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i,\varepsilon}(x) &= \left(\exp_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}^* g \right) (r_{i,\varepsilon} x), \quad A_{i,\varepsilon}(x) = \left(\exp_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}^* A_\varepsilon \right) (r_{i,\varepsilon} x), \\ b_{i,\varepsilon}(x) &= b_\varepsilon \left(\exp_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon} x) \right) \text{ and } f_{i,\varepsilon}(x) = f_\varepsilon \left(\exp_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon} x) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Thanks to Step 2, we know that $(v_{i,\varepsilon})$ is uniformly bounded in $C^3(K)$ for all compact subsets K of $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0, \tilde{x}_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{S}_i}$. Thanks to the definition (22) of $r_{i,\varepsilon}$ and to (23), we have that

$$\varphi_{i,\varepsilon}(r_{i,\varepsilon}) \leq \varphi_{i,\varepsilon}(R\mu_{i,\varepsilon})$$

for all $R > R_\nu$. Thanks to assertion b) of Step 1 and to (23), it is now rather easily checked that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{i,\varepsilon}(R\mu_{i,\varepsilon}) r_{i,\varepsilon}^{4(1-\nu)} = 0$$

since $1 \leq \nu < 2$. Thus standard elliptic theory leads thanks to (28) and (29) that, after passing to a subsequence,

$$v_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow H_i \text{ in } C_{loc}^4 \left(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0, \tilde{x}_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{S}_i} \right) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad (30)$$

where H_i satisfies

$$\Delta_\xi^2 H_i = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0, \tilde{x}_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{S}_i}. \quad (31)$$

Moreover, thanks to Step 2, we have that, for $l = 1, 2, 3$,

$$R(x)^l |\nabla^l H_i(x)|_\xi \leq C_l \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0, \tilde{x}_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{S}_i} \quad (32)$$

where

$$R(x) = \min \{ |x|; |x - \tilde{x}_j| \}_{j \in \mathcal{S}_i}.$$

Equation (32) easily permits to prove that

$$H_i(x) = \alpha \ln \frac{1}{|x|} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}_i} \alpha_j \ln \frac{1}{|x - \tilde{x}_j|} + \beta \quad (33)$$

where α, β and the α_j 's are real numbers. Integrating equation (28) over $B_0(1)$ and passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ thanks to (29), (30) and (33), we obtain that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{i,\varepsilon}(r_{i,\varepsilon}) r_{i,\varepsilon}^{4(1-\nu)} \int_{B_0(1)} f_{i,\varepsilon} e^{v_{i,\varepsilon}} dv_{g_{i,\varepsilon}} = - \int_{\partial B_0(1)} \partial_\nu \Delta_\xi H_i d\sigma_\xi = 8\alpha\pi^2.$$

With a change of variable, we get that

$$\varphi_{i,\varepsilon}(r_{i,\varepsilon}) r_{i,\varepsilon}^{4(1-\nu)} \int_{B_0(1)} f_{i,\varepsilon} e^{v_{i,\varepsilon}} dv_{g_{i,\varepsilon}} = \int_{B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon})} f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g$$

so that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon})} f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g = 8\alpha\pi^2. \quad (34)$$

Step 2 with $k = 1$ together with the definitions of $R_{i,\varepsilon}$ and $r_{i,\varepsilon}$ gives the existence of some $C > 0$ such that for any $0 \leq r \leq 3/2$,

$$\left| u_\varepsilon \left(\exp_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon} x) \right) - u_\varepsilon \left(\exp_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon} y) \right) \right| \leq C$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^4$ such that $|x| = |y| = r$. With point b) of Step 1, (22) and (23), we then get that for any $\eta > 0$, there exists $R_\eta > 0$ such that for any $R > R_\eta$, we have that

$$d_g(x, x_{i,\varepsilon})^{4\nu} e^{u_\varepsilon(x)} \leq \eta \mu_{i,\varepsilon}^{4(\nu-1)} \quad (35)$$

for all $x \in B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon}) \setminus B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(R\mu_{i,\varepsilon})$. With point b) of Step 1 and (35), we get that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{x_{i,\varepsilon}}(r_{i,\varepsilon})} f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g = 64\pi^2.$$

With (34), we obtain that $\alpha = 8$. Integrating on $B_{\tilde{x}_j}(\delta)$ for $\delta > 0$ small instead of $B_0(1)$, one proves in the same way that $\alpha_j \geq 8$ for all $j \in \mathcal{S}_i$. We let

$$\bar{H}_i(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 r^3} \int_{\partial B_0(r)} H_i(x) d\sigma.$$

A simple computation gives that

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(r^{4\nu} e^{\bar{H}_i(r)} \right) = 4 \left(\nu - 2 - \left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}_i} \frac{\alpha_j}{8|\tilde{x}_j|^2} \right) r^2 \right) r^{4\nu-1} e^{\bar{H}_i(r)}$$

for $r \in (0, \frac{3}{2})$. Since $\nu < 2$, we get in particular that

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(r^{4\nu} e^{\bar{H}_i(r)} \right) (1) < 0.$$

This clearly proves that

$$r_{i,\varepsilon} = \frac{R_{i,\varepsilon}}{2} \quad (36)$$

for all i such that (19) holds. Thanks to (24), this in turn implies that $R_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ and that $\mathcal{S}_j \neq \emptyset$. Note that, for the moment, we have proved, with the help of Step 2 (see (35)), that the estimate of Step 3 holds if for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we have that $R_{i,\varepsilon} \not\rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, if this is the case, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $R_{j,\varepsilon} \geq \delta$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and one can easily repeat the above arguments with any of the j 's in $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Thus, in order to end the proof of the step, it remains to prove that $R_{i,\varepsilon} \not\rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. We let $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ be such that, up to a subsequence,

$$R_{i_0,\varepsilon} = \min_{i=1,\dots,N} R_{i,\varepsilon}.$$

We assume by contradiction that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} R_{i_0,\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Clearly (19) holds for $i = i_0$, and (36) holds. It then follows from the definition of \mathcal{S}_{i_0} that for any $i \in \mathcal{S}_{i_0}$, there exists $C(i) > 0$ such that

$$R_{i,\varepsilon} \leq C(i) R_{j,\varepsilon}$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. It follows that (19) holds for all $i \in \mathcal{S}_{i_0}$, and that the preceding analysis can be carried out. We pick up $i \in \mathcal{S}_{i_0}$ such that

$$d_g(x_{i,\varepsilon}, x_{i_0,\varepsilon}) \geq d_g(x_{j,\varepsilon}, x_{i_0,\varepsilon})$$

for all $j \in \mathcal{S}_{i_0}$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$. With (27), we get that $|\tilde{x}_{i_0}| \geq |\tilde{x}_j - \tilde{x}_{i_0}|$ for all $j \in \mathcal{S}_{i_0}$. Since $\mathcal{S}_i = (\mathcal{S}_{i_0} \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i_0\}$, we have that

$$|\tilde{x}_{i_0}| \geq |\tilde{x}_j - \tilde{x}_{i_0}|$$

for all $j \in \mathcal{S}_i$. A consequence of this inequality is that

$$(\tilde{x}_{i_0}, \tilde{x}_j) > 0 \quad (37)$$

for all $j \in \mathcal{S}_i$, where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the Euclidean scalar product. This amounts to assuming that all the \tilde{x}_j 's, $j \in \mathcal{S}_i$ lie in the same half-space which boundary contains 0. Let $0 < \delta < 1$. We write thanks to equation (28) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_0(\delta)} \nabla v_{i,\varepsilon} \Delta_{g_{i,\varepsilon}}^2 v_{i,\varepsilon} dv_{g_{i,\varepsilon}} - r_{i,\varepsilon}^2 \int_{B_0(\delta)} \nabla v_{i,\varepsilon} \operatorname{div}_{g_{i,\varepsilon}} (A_{i,\varepsilon} \nabla v_{i,\varepsilon}) dv_{g_{i,\varepsilon}} \\ &= \varphi_{i,\varepsilon} (r_{i,\varepsilon}) r_{i,\varepsilon}^{4(1-\nu)} \int_{B_0(\delta)} f_{i,\varepsilon} \nabla e^{v_{i,\varepsilon}} dv_{g_{i,\varepsilon}} - r_{i,\varepsilon}^4 \int_{B_0(\delta)} b_{i,\varepsilon} \nabla v_{i,\varepsilon} dv_{g_{i,\varepsilon}} . \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, using the estimates of Step 2, (6) and (30), one can easily estimate the different terms involved in this equation to arrive to

$$\int_{B_0(\delta)} \nabla v_{i,\varepsilon} \Delta_{g_{i,\varepsilon}}^2 v_{i,\varepsilon} dv_{g_{i,\varepsilon}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 . \quad (38)$$

Using the Cartan expansion of the metric in the exponential chart and the estimates on the derivatives of $v_{i,\varepsilon}$, some integrations by parts then lead with (30) to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_0(\delta)} \nabla v_{i,\varepsilon} \Delta_{g_{i,\varepsilon}}^2 v_{i,\varepsilon} dv_{g_{i,\varepsilon}} \right)_k &\rightarrow - \int_{\partial B_0(\delta)} \partial_k H_i (\nabla \Delta_\xi H_i, \nu)_\xi d\sigma_\xi \\ &+ \int_{\partial B_0(\delta)} \partial_{lk} H_i \nu^l \Delta_\xi H_i d\sigma_\xi \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_0(\delta)} (\Delta_\xi H_i)^2 \nu_k d\sigma_\xi \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We let

$$H_i(x) = 8 \ln \frac{1}{|x|} + G_i(x) .$$

Simple computations then give that

$$\int_{B_0(\delta)} \nabla v_{i,\varepsilon} \Delta_{g_{i,\varepsilon}}^2 v_{i,\varepsilon} dv_\xi \rightarrow 64\pi^2 \nabla G_i(0)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Coming back to (38), we obtain that $\nabla G_i(0) = 0$, a contradiction with the choice of i we made in (37). This ends the proof of Step 3. Note that the fact that $\bar{u}_\varepsilon \rightarrow -\infty$ is a direct consequence of the estimate we just proved and of Step 2. \diamond

We are now in position to conclude the proof of Theorem 1. Using the estimates of Step 3, it is easily checked that

$$\int_M f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} dv_g \rightarrow 64\pi^2 N \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 ,$$

which gives the first assertion of the theorem thanks to (6). Since we already proved that $\bar{u}_\varepsilon \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it remains to prove the convergence of $u_\varepsilon - \bar{u}_\varepsilon$ outside the concentration points and to prove the last property of the theorem concerning the location of concentration points. We let $\mathcal{S} = \{x_i\}_{i=1,\dots,N}$ where $x_i = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_{i,\varepsilon}$. We let $x_0 \in M \setminus \mathcal{S}$ and we write with the Green representation formula that

$$u_\varepsilon(x_0) - \bar{u}_\varepsilon = \int_M G_\varepsilon(x_0, y) \left(f_\varepsilon(y) e^{u_\varepsilon(y)} - b_\varepsilon(y) \right) dv_g(y)$$

where G_ε is the Green function of L_ε . It is then easy to compute an asymptotic expansion of the different terms involved to get that

$$u_\varepsilon(x_0) - \bar{u}_\varepsilon \rightarrow 64\pi^2 \sum_{i=1}^N G(x_0, x_i) - \int_M G(x_0, y) b_0(y) dv_g(y) \quad (39)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ where G is the Green function of the limit operator L_0 . The convergence result in the theorem easily follows. The last part of the theorem is a consequence of a Pohozaev-type identity. More precisely, we write in the exponential chart around $x_i \in \mathcal{S}$ and for $\delta > 0$ small enough that

$$\int_{B_{x_i}(\delta)} (L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon + b_\varepsilon) \nabla u_\varepsilon dv_g = \int_{B_{x_i}(\delta)} f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} \nabla u_\varepsilon dv_g$$

thanks to equation (4). Integration by parts together with dominated convergence theorem then lead to

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{x_i}(\delta)} f_\varepsilon e^{u_\varepsilon} \nabla u_\varepsilon dv_g = -64\pi^2 \frac{\nabla f_0(x_i)}{f_0(x_i)}$$

thanks to Steps 1 to 3 and to (39). On the other hand, after integration by parts, using (39), rather long but easy computations lead to

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{x_i}(\delta)} (L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon + b_\varepsilon) \nabla u_\varepsilon dv_g = 64\pi^2 \nabla G_i(x_i)$$

where

$$G_i(x) = 64\pi^2 \beta(x_i, x) + 64\pi^2 \sum_{j \neq i}^N G(x, x_j) - \int_M G(x, y) b_0(y) dv_g(y)$$

with β is the regular part of G . The last assertion of the theorem follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chang, S.Y.A., On a fourth-order partial differential equation in conformal geometry. Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (Chicago, IL, 1996), 127-150, *Chicago Lectures in Math.*, Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1999.
- [2] Chang, S.Y.A. and Yang, P.C. On a fourth order curvature invariant. Spectral problems in geometry and arithmetic (Iowa City, IA, 1997), 9-28, *Contemp. Math.*, **237**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [3] Druet, O. From one bubble to several bubbles : the low-dimensional case, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **63**, 2003, 399-473.
- [4] Druet, O. Compactness for the Yamabe equation in low dimensions, *I.M.R.N.*, **23**, 2004, 1143-1191.
- [5] Druet, O. and Hebey, E. Blow-up examples for second order elliptic PDEs of critical Sobolev growth, *Trans. A.M.S.*, to appear.
- [6] Druet, O., Hebey, E. and Robert, F. Blow-up theory for elliptic PDE's in Riemannian geometry, *Mathematical Notes*, **45**, Princeton University Press, 2004.
- [7] Kiessling, M. Statistical mechanics approach to some problems in conformal geometry, *Phys. A*, **279**, 2000, 353-368.
- [8] Lin, C.S. A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in \mathbb{R}^n . *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **73**, 1998, 206-231.
- [9] Paneitz, S. A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, *preprint*, 1983.
- [10] Robert, F. and Struwe, M. Asymptotic profile for a fourth-order PDE with critical exponential growth in dimension 4, *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, to appear.
- [11] Schoen, R. On the number of constant scalar curvature metrics in a conformal class. Differential geometry, 311-320, *Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math.*, **52**, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991.

OLIVIER DRUET, UMPA, ENS LYON, 46, ALLÉE D'ITALIE, 69364 LYON CEDEX 7, FRANCE
E-mail address: odruet@umpa.ens-lyon.fr

FRÉDÉRIC ROBERT, UNIVERSITÉ DE NICE SOPHIA-ANTIPOLIS, LABORATOIRE J.A.DIEUDONNÉ,
 PARC VALROSE, 06108 NICE CEDEX 2, FRANCE
E-mail address: frobert@math.unice.fr